	Case 8:08-cr-00180-DOC Document 105	Filed 10/06/11	Page 1 of 3	Page ID #:370	
1 2 3	ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney DENNISE D. WILLETT Assistant United States Attorney	7			
3 4 5 6 7	Chief, Santa Ana Branch LAWRENCE E. KOLE (Cal. Bar No. 141582) Assistant United States Attorney 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 8000 Santa Ana, California 92701 Telephone: (714) 338-3594 Facsimile: (714) 338-3564 Email: larry.kole@usdoj.gov				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America				
9 10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11 12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN DIVISION				
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	Case No. SA	CR 08-180	DOC	
14	Plaintiff, )	ORDER CONTI FEBRUARY 21	, 2012 AT	8:30 A.M.	
15	v. ) ) MOSES ONCIU, BEATA GIZELLA )	AND REGARDI PERIOD UNDE			
16 17	PRIORE, and IRENE PEMKOVA, )				
L /	Defendants. )				

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation re Excludable Time Period under Speedy Trial Act filed by the parties in this matter on October 5, 2011. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that provide good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

18

The Court further finds that: (1) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (2) failure to grant the continuance

would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding 1 impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; (3) failure to 2 grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendants 3 continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the 4 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into 5 account the exercise of due diligence, (4) this continuance 6 results from the unavailability of an essential witness, and (5) 7 this continuance is a reasonable period of delay resulting from 8 defendants' joinder with each other for trial, the time for trial 9 of defendants has not run, and no motion for severance has been 10 granted. 11

12

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The trial in this matter is continued from November 8,
 2011 to February 21, 2012 at 8:30 a.m.

15 2. The time period of November 8, 2011 to February 21,
16 2012, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which
17 the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(3)(A),
18 (h)(6), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(I), and (B)(iv).

Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that 19 3. other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional 20 time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must 21 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions 22 of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion 23 24 / / / 25 | | | 26 / / / 27 

28 / / /

	Case 8:08-cr-00180-DOC Document 105 Filed 10/06/11 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:372
1	of additional time periods from the period within which trial
2	must commence.
3	Dated: October 6, 2011.
4	
5	plavid O. Carter
6	Honorable David O. Carter United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3